

Grace Albanese,  
Plaintiff  
v.  
Federal Bureau of Investigations,  
Defendant

[ECF Nos. 8, 10]

Magistrate Judge Hoffman screened that complaint, dismissed it as insufficient, and—with detailed instructions—gave Albanese a chance to amend it.<sup>3</sup> She did, and he screened that amended complaint. And because Albanese’s amended complaint<sup>4</sup> is similarly based on fantastic and delusional scenarios, Magistrate Judge Hoffman recommends that I dismiss this case with prejudice as delusional and frivolous.<sup>5</sup> Albanese objects to that recommendation, but

<sup>5</sup> ECF No. 8.

1 she offers nothing more than a reiteration of her original allegations. So, after a de novo review,<sup>6</sup>  
2 I find that Albanese's allegations describe fantastic and delusional scenarios and do not state a  
3 claim upon which relief can be granted.<sup>7</sup>

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and  
5 Recommendation [ECF No. 8] is **ADOPTED** in its entirety, and Albanese's objections [ECF  
6 No. 10] are **OVERRULED**.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED with prejudice as delusional  
8 and frivolous. The Clerk of Court is directed to ENTER JUDGMENT accordingly and CLOSE  
9 THIS CASE.

10 Dated: February 5, 2019

11  
12   
13 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 <sup>6</sup> See LR IB 3-2(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).

27 <sup>7</sup> *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 327–28 (1989).  
28